	Case 2:25-cv-00158-DC-JDP Document	16 Filed 07/08/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROBBIE CARL ELLINGTON, Jr.,	Case No. 2:25-cv-01	158-DC-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER	
14	CITY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,		
15	Defendants,		
16			
17	On May 14, 2025, defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's second amended		
18	complaint for failure to state a claim. ECF No. 15. To date, plaintiff has not responded to		
19	defendants' motion.		
20	Under the court's local rules, a responding party is required to file an opposition or		
21	statement of non-opposition to a motion no later than fourteen days after the date it was filed.		
22	E.D. Cal. L.R. 230(c). To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants to meet		
23	certain deadlines. The court may impose sanctions, including dismissing a case, for failure to		
24	comply with its orders or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon		
25	Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d		
26	1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a		
27	duty to administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. See		
28	Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.		
		1	

## 1 The court will give plaintiff the opportunity to explain why sanctions should not be 2 imposed for failure to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendant's motion. 3 Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and 4 will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. 5 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: 6 1. The July 17, 2025 hearing on defendants' motion is continued to August 28, 2025, at 7 10:00 a.m. 8 2. By no later than July 31, 2025, plaintiff shall file an opposition or statement of non-9 opposition to defendants' motion. 10 3. Plaintiff shall show cause, by no later than July 31, 2025, why sanctions should not be 11 imposed for failure to timely file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' 12 motion. 13 4. Defendants may file a reply to plaintiff's opposition, if any, no later than August 14, 14 2025. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 17 Dated: July 8, 2025 18 JERÉMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Document 16

Filed 07/08/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:25-cv-00158-DC-JDP

28